

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Gu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,268	02/03/2004	Heng Liao	PAT 2241-2 US	2322
26123 7590 01/02/2008 BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			EXAMINER	
Anne Kinsman WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			TRAN, TUNG Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
CANADA				
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/771,268	LIAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tung Q. Tran	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2007.	•			
	·				
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 6 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

10/771,268 Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment filed on October 15th, 2007. The Applicants amend claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, and 13, cancel claims 3 and 6, and add new claims 14-15. The Amendment has brought in new matters. Therefore, the amended claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, and 13 and new claims 14-15 cannot be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1, new matters are introduced: receiving data packets each tagged according to an encapsulation scheme and including a port or channel ID; multiplexing a number of data streams according to respective tags, port or channel IDs of said data packets; and mapping each said data stream directly to a physical transport

Application/Control Number:

10/771,268

Art Unit: 2616

interface by tag modification independent of any Layer 2 bridging or Layer 3 routing protocol.

Regarding claim 4, new matters are introduced: an ingress portion having an ingress header unit for receiving data from an Ethernet MAC subsystem and extracting 2-tuple ingress search keys including a port or channel ID and an ingress frame tag, wherein said ingress frame tag is according to an ingress frame tag encapsulation scheme; egress portion having an egress header unit for receiving data from said encapsulation engine and extracting 2-tuple ingress search keys including a virtual concatenation ,group ID and an egress frame tag, wherein said egress frame tag is according to an egress frame tag encapsulation scheme.

Regarding claim 9, new matters are introduced: receiving a data packet tagged according to an encapsulation scheme and including a port or channel ID; extracting a search key including said port or channel ID and said tag from said input client frame via said header unit; applying discard policies to said flow FIFO based on said flow context.

Regarding claim 10, new matters are introduced: said scheduling step occurs in accordance with said flow context.

Regarding claim 14, new matters are introduced: said ingress frame tag is an 802.1Q tag, a MPLS tag, or a proprietary tag.

Regarding claim 15, new matters are introduced: wherein said egress frame tag is an 802.1Q tag, a MPLS tag, a proprietary tag, or a GFP tag.

Any claim not specifically addressed, above, is being rejected as incorporating the deficiencies of a claim upon which it depends.

10/771,268 Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 15th, 2007 on rejections of claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9, and 12 under 35 USC 102 and 103 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. According to Applicant's Remarks/Arguments on page 7-10, the Applicants argue on new added limitations on the amended claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, and 13 but these claims has brought in new matters. Please see the rejections above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number:

10/771,268 Art Unit: 2616

9737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 7:30 am - 5 pm, off alternative Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TQT

KWANG BIN YAO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER